

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/678,043	MCINTOSH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	JOHN HEFFINGTON	2179	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) JOHN HEFFINGTON.

(2) Al Watkins.

**Date of Interview:** 23 September 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*35 USC 102(b) in view of Tuttle*

Claims discussed:

*8, 14, 16, 28, 38, 40 and 42*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Tuttle*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner suggested amendments to the independent claims that would make the claims allowed over the prior art of record. The applicant accepted the amendments*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Boris Pesin/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2174

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)